This is a version of <a href="http://www.bracresearch.org/publications/lffath\_Sharif.ppt">http://www.bracresearch.org/publications/lffath\_Sharif.ppt</a> as it looked when our crawler examined the site on 4/1/2007. The page you see below is the version in our index that was used to rank this page in the results to your recent query. This is not necessarily the most recent version of the page - to see the most recent version of this page, visit the page on the web.

Live.com is not affiliated with the content nor parties responsible for the page displayed below.

# Evaluating the Impact of Food Stamps and Microfinance Evidence from Sri Lanka Dr. Iffath A. Sharif

## The Samurdhi Poverty Alleviation Program

• The Food Stamp Program (otherwise known as the consumption grant program)

- The Microfinance Program (which operates through Samurdhi Bank Societies and disburses loans to Samurdhi members)
- Village Infrastructure Development Program (which consists of rehabilitation and development of small scale village infrastructure via the use of labour provided by Samurdhi members)

#### The Samurdhi Program

- Established in 1995 after PA came into power
- Households with monthly income level below Rs.
   1500 are eligible
- 1.5 million households received food stamps in 1995;2.3 million households in 2001
- Expenditures on Samurdhi average 1 percent of GDP

#### The Samurdhi Microfinance Program

- Samurdhi food stamp recipients are eligible to form credit groups and access microfinance services
- 2/3 of food stamp recipients access microfinance services
- Two types of services: group savings & intra-group loans; Samurdhi Bank loans (larger loans)
- One Samurdhi Bank for 10-15 villages
- 10% of total borrowing by the poor was from Samurdhi

#### Potential Research Questions

- Samurdhi set-up allows us to ask the following question:
- As anti-poverty strategies how does providing handouts in the form of food stamps compare to microfinance services?
- To address question one option is to assess how

participation in these two programs impacts household expenditure, particularly for the poorest.

#### The relevant literature

- Theory predicts welfare programs generate disincentives to work
- Whether a roll-back of welfare programs actually generate income gains for the poor is debatable
- Microfinance programs are applauded for having the opposite effect on work incentives
- Nevertheless debates about the impact of microfinance are on-going

#### Methodology

- . 1999/2000 household level survey of 5530 households (excluding the North-Eastern Province)
- . Propensity score matching to create treatment and control groups
- . Examine the differences in per capita household expenditure

### Some Empirical Results

- Food stamps significantly increases per capita hh food consumption by 5.7%
- Poorest hhs experience a gain of 5.5% in total hh per capita expenditure – mainly driven by purchase of nonfood items using the coupons
- Hhs in second expenditure quintile show a decline in per capita non-food consumption of 8% (a larger

reduction than the gain in per capita food consumption of 6%)

### Some empirical results

- . Microfinance borrowers experience a 6% gain in food consumption and a 5% gain in total hh per capita expenditure
- For poorest hhs, there is a 11% gain in hh per capita food consumption and a 5% gain in total per capita monthly hh expenditure that can attributed to microfinance

Total sample

n=5530

Households who do not join the Samurdhi program

n=3315

B

Households who only receive food stamps

n=967

C

Households who receive food stamps & microfinance n=1248

 $\mathbf{D}$ 

Households who join Samurdhi food stamp program

n=2215

A



